moTuner: A Compiler-based Auto-tuning Approach for Mixed-precision Operators

Zewei Mo, Zejia Lin, Xianwei Zhang, Yutong Lu mozw5@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

> Computing Frontiers 2022 May 17th-19th, 2022, Torino, Italy







Agenda

Background

- Mixed-precision
- Operator
- Compilation
- Motivation
- Design
 - Overview
 - Data Dependency Analysis
 - Setting Tuning
- Evaluation
- Summary

Mixed-precision

A computation with multiple precisions
 Different precision of input and output in a computation

Different precision presentations

- Various sizes and unit precisions
- Specific computation hardware
- Software support

Precision Presentation	Bit Number	Minimum Value	Maximum Value	Unit Precision
INT8	8	0	1.27×10^{2}	$1.0 imes 10^{0}$
BF16	16	1.2×10^{-38}	3.4×10^{38}	3.9×10^{-3}
FP16	16	$6.1 imes 10^{-5}$	$6.6 imes 10^{4}$	4.9×10^{-3}
FP32	32	1.2×10^{-38}	3.4×10^{38}	6.0×10^{-8}
FP64	64	2.2×10^{-308}	1.8×10^{308}	1.1×10^{-16}

Various error in different domain-specific applications



Mixed-precision (cont.)

• A lot of applications take advantages of mixed-precision



Ab initio Molecular Dynamics



Density Functional Theory

Dynamic Precision for Electron Repulsion Integral Evaluation on Graphical Processing Units (GPUs)



HPL-AI Harnessing GPU Tensor Cores for Fast FP16 Arithmetic to Speed up Mixed-Precision Iterative Refinement Solvers



Earthquake Prediction

A Fast Scalable Implicit Solver for Nonlinear Time-Evolution Earthquake City Problem on Low-Ordered Unstructured Finite Elements with Artificial Intelligence and Transprecision Computing



Computational Fluid Dynamic



Quantum Transport A Data-Centric Approach to Extreme-Scale Ab initio Dissipative Quantum Transport Simulations

Mixed-precision Operator

Operator: A function accompolishes specific computation

General Matrix-Multiply (GEMM)

Most widely used in HPC and deep learning applications.



Mixed-precision support for operators
 Hardware: GPU, CPU, TPU, NPU, ...
 Software: cuBLAS, rocBLAS, MKL, ...



Mixed-precision Operator (cont.)

TC: Tensor Core MC: Matrix Core

Hardware	FP64	FP32	FP16	BFloat16	INT8
A100	9.7 TFLOPS TC: 19.5 TFLOPS	19.5 TFLOPS TC: 156 TFLOPS	78 TFLOPS TC: 312 TFLOPS	TC: 312 TFLOPS	TC: 624 TFLOPS
MI100	11.5 TFLOPS	23.1 TFLOPS MC: 46.1 TFLOPS	MC: 184.6 TFLOPS	MC: 92.3 TFLOPS	MC: 184.6 TOPS
Intel Xeon Platinum 8180	_	3.57 TFLOPS	-	_	5.18 TOPS
TPU v3	-	-	-	90 TFLOPS	-

- Mixed-precision setting of GEMM operator
 - Different input precision and output precision
 - Tradeoff between performance and accuracy



Compilation

LLVM: A compiler framework consists of multiple tools

FrontEnd

- IR generator and optimizer
- Binary generator and optimizer
- Just-in-Time Optimizer

• ...

Brings operator library into play

Provides all static information about program



Compilation (cont.)

IR (Intermidiate Representation): Key of Optimization

- Not platform-related
- Function call (e.g. operator) remains in its API form
- SSA (Static Single Assignment)
 - Name of each assignment is unique

$$V = 4$$
 SSA Transform
 $V1 = 4$
 $Z = V + 5$
 $Z1 = V1 + 5$
 $V = 6$
 $V2 = 6$
 $Y = V + 7$
 $Y1 = V2 + 7$

Optimization Pass

- Data Dependency Analysis
- Code Transform

• …

Agenda

Background

- Mixed-precision
- Operator
- Compilation

Motivation

Design

Overview

- Data Dependency Analysis
- Setting Tuning
- Evaluation

Summary

Motivation

Much burden comes with using mixed-precision operator

- Customized mixed-precision operator library: five component of mixed-precision computation
- Description of the second s
- Setting mixed-precision setting parameter
- Huge setting space for N operators
 Considering 4 settings for each operators
 Total 4^N settings



Motivation (cont.)

Different applications demand different accuracy

- An efficient tuning tool is required for different scenarios
- Considering about the following scenario:
 - A output of GEMM is the output of one application

Density means the occurrence frequency of different error value



Agenda

Background

- Mixed-precision
- Operator
- Compilation
- Motivation

Design

- Overview
- Data Dependency Analysis
- Setting Tuning
- Evaluation
- Summary



Marker

• Unique ID for each operator: order number of first execution and executed count

Original result and performance of operators

Adjuster

- Analyzes related operators
- Optimized efficient search strategy
- Qualified settings without performance downgrade



Data Dependency Analysis

Data dependency between operators

One input variable of an operator is the output of another operator



Related operators: have data dependency and execute in given input

Only setting of related operators should be fixed







Levelized Setting

Settings with different levels

- High performance comes with low accuracy
- Reduces search space

#	Input Precision	Output Precision	Performance Rank	Accuracy Rank	Level
1	FP32	FP32	3rd	1st	3
2	FP16	FP32	2nd	2nd	2
3	INT8	FP32	1st	3rd	1

Fix of setting

- Detects error of tuned operators with different settings
- Fixes settings of related operators when an operator introduces intolerable error
- Each time of fix needs a level up of settings

Tuning Process

An ordered executed operator list



Output and performance of operators from "shadow execution"



One operator tuned in each adjustment

Extra tuning process to guarantee accuracy

Tuning Process (cont.)

For each operator, tries all settings and choose the best one

- Checks error and performance of tuned operators in each adjusting process
- Updates settings of related operator when one introduces intolerable error

Input Precision	Output Precision	Level
FP32	FP32	3
FP16	FP16	2
INT8	FP32	1





Agenda

Background

- Mixed-precision
- Operator
- Compilation
- Motivation
- Design
 - Data Dependency Analysis
 - Setting Tuning
- Evaluation
- Summary

Environment

Programs are written in HIP

Programs are compiled with –O3

ŀ	lardware	Software		
CPU	EPYC 7302 Freq.: 3.0-3.3 GHz	Operating System	CentOS 7.9	
CPU Memory	256 GB	Operator Library	hipBLAS@4.3.1 rocSolver@4.3.1	
GPU	MI100 FP32 Perf.: 46.1 TFLOPS FP16 Perf.: 184.6 TFLOPS	Compiler	HIPCC@4.3.1	
GPU Memory	32 GB	Backend	HIP@4.3.1	

Benchmarks





Cholesky Factorization (CF)

Tiled version

Input setting denoted by (N, t)

HPL-AI

- Tiled version
- Input setting denoted by (N, t)
- Validates whether the scaled residual of result matrix is smaller than 16

Schemes

- Baseline: Runs the program in FP32/FP32 precision
- <u>Exhaust</u>: Exhaustively finds the qualified one with highest performance
- <u>PriorK</u>: Is aware of error and performance of each setting combination
 Micro: randomly selects the fastest 1% qualified settings

• CF and HPL-AI: randomly selects fastest 50% qualified settings

<u>moTuner</u>: Uses moTuner to get the optimized executable file

Metrics

Performance

Average of five execution time of whole program (GEMM part for HPL-AI)

Accuracy

Error Threshold Category Error Kind Value • Mean related error (MRE): E_{γ} E1 E_{γ} 0.05 $E_{\gamma} \\ E_{\gamma} \\ E_{\gamma}$ E2 0.005 $E_{\gamma}(X, X') = \left\| X_{flatten} - X'_{flatten} \right\|_{\infty}$ E3 0.0005 0.00005 E4 E_{δ} E5 100 E_{δ} E6 10 • Maximum absolute error (MAE): E_{δ} E7 E_{δ} 1 E_{δ} 0.1 E8

$$E_{\delta}(X, X') = \left\| X - X' \right\|_{F} / \left\| X' \right\|_{F}$$

Automation Efficiency

Execution count is an objective metric to provide insight of tuning effort

Performance and Accuracy

moTuner gains 1.92x performance improvement and 97.12% accuracy in average
 moTuner gains 32.26% higher performance than PriorK, only with 2.23% lower accuracy



Automation Efficiency

- Less is better
- Average execution count of moTuner is 0.73
- moTuner reduces up to 81.2% tunning effort and 67.8% in average.



Result & Analysis (cont.)

Micro

Input setting is denoted as #GEMM and data distribution

□ N: Normalized (0,0.5), R: Random (-1,1),U: Uniform (-0.5,0.5)

moTuner achieves 2.43x speedup and 99.93% accuracy in average



Result & Analysis (cont.)

CF and HPL-AI

moTuner achieves 1.14x speedup and 99.45% accuracy in average



Agenda

Background

- Mixed-precision
- Operator
- Compilation
- Motivation
- Design
 - Data Dependency Analysis
 - Setting Tuning
- Evaluation
- Summary



• moTuner: An auto-tuning approach aiming at mixed-precision operators

Basic Design:

Finds related operators for one under every given input

Upgrades settings of related operators when intolerable error occurs

Result:

Provides 1.92x speedup and 97.12% accuracy in average

Has great robustness in different scenarios

Future work:

Support more complex operators on various hardware in future



moTuner: A Compiler-based Auto-tuning Approach for Mixed-precision Operators

Zewei Mo, Zejia Lin, Xianwei Zhang, Yutong Lu mozw5@mail2.sysu.edu.cn







moTuner: https://github.com/MoZeWei/moTuner

Backup Slides

Compilation (cont.)

• All executable files are generated through compilation from source code

